

A COMPACTIFICATION AND THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF THE SPACES OF REAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

S. V. SHADRIN

ABSTRACT. For any connected component H_0 of the space of real meromorphic function we build a compactification $N(H_0)$ of the space H_0 . Then we express the Euler characteristics of the spaces H_0 and $N(H_0)$ in terms of topological invariants of functions from H_0 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Real meromorphic functions	3
3. Construction of compactification	6
4. Lyashko-Looijenga map	8
5. Compactification theorems	10
6. The Euler characteristic via LL -multiplicity – I	11
7. The Euler characteristic via LL -multiplicity – II	12
8. The Euler characteristic of connected components	13
9. The Euler characteristic in non-separating case	14
10. The Euler characteristic in separating case	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Defenition of a RMF. Recall, that a *real meromorphic function* (RMF) is a triple (P, τ, f) , where P is a compact Riemann surface, $\tau: P \rightarrow P$ is an antiholomorphic involution, and $f: P \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a holomorphic map to the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, s. t. $f(\tau(z)) = \overline{f(z)}$.

Each polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_nz^n$ with real coefficients ($a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$) can be considered, for example, as a real meromorphic function $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, p)$, where $\eta: z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is a standart involution on the Riemann sphere.

1.2. Space of RMFs. Denote by H the set of all real meromorphic functions, i. e. the set of all possible triples (P, τ, f) . The set H possesses a natural structure of topological space. We recall it's definition later. The space H consists of the countable number of (arcwise) connected components. Each component is a non-closed real manifold.

In [8, 9, 10] one can find the full topological classification of real meromorphic functions. There are defined some integer topological invariants of RMFs, s. t. each connected component of the space H is uniquely defined by assignment of these invariants.

Consider a real meromorphic function (P, τ, f) . The simplest examples of such invariants are degree n of the map f and genus g of the curve P .

1.3. Compactification. For each connected component H_0 of the space H we build a compactification $N(H_0)$. Our construction is a real analogue of the Natanzon-Turaev compactification [13].

The main idea is to represent a typical limit point of H_0 by a simple branched RMF endowed with a family of disjoint disks in the image. It is just the idea of Natanzon and Turaev, but some refinements of the real case make our definitions a little bit unwieldy.

The space $N(H_0)$ has a natural structure of CW-complex. A *real decorated function* is a term that we use to call an element of the space $N(H_0)$.

Although there are many constructions of compactifications of the spaces of non-real meromorphic functions (see [2, 4, 7]), our work seems to be the first one that contains compactifications of the spaces of RMFs.

1.4. Expressions for topological characteristics. Consider a real meromorphic function (P, τ, f) . Let H_0 be a connected component contains the function (P, τ, f) . The space $N(H_0)$ is a compactification of H_0 .

Let us know all topological invariants of the function (P, τ, f) . Then there is a Riemann-Hurwitz formula for dimension: $\dim H_0 = \dim N(H_0) = 2g + 2n - 2$.

In this paper we express the Euler characteristics $\chi(H_0)$ and $\chi(N(H_0))$ of the spaces H_0 and $N(H_0)$ in topological invariants of the function (P, τ, f) . Under the Euler characteristic of a non-compact manifold H_0 we understand the difference $\chi(H_0) = \chi(N(H_0)) - \chi(N(H_0) \setminus H_0)$ (the complement $N(H_0) \setminus H_0$ is also a CW-complex).

1.5. A way to compute the Euler characteristic. We compute the Euler characteristics in two steps.

First we relate the Euler characteristics of the spaces H_0 and $N(H_0)$ with the multiplicity of the *Lyashko-Looijenga map*.

Recall, that the *Lyashko-Looijenga map* (LL), as it was called in [1], associates to a real meromorphic function the unordered sequence of it's

critical values. A concept of the set of critical values can be naturally defined also for real decorated functions.

Then, roughly speaking, the Euler characterisic of the manifold H_0 (or of the CW-complex $N(H_0)$) is equal to the number of real meromorphic (resp., real decorated) functions from H_0 (resp., from $N(H_0)$) with the set of critical values equals to $\{i, -i\}$.

The second step is to express the obtained multiplicity as a number.

1.6. Calculation of the Euler characteristics. The Euler characteristic of a connected component is equal 0 or 1. We explain, how it depends on topological invariants of RMFs.

For the Euler characteristic of a compactification we don't have a direct combinatorial formula. We express it as a number of certain graphs. The structure of these graphs depends on topological invariants of RMFs from the corresponding connected component.

1.7. Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as it follows:

sect. 2: We briefly formulate the results of [10] about topological invariants of RMFs (as it was done in [12] for some other purposes).

sect. 3: We define a construction of the compactification.

sect. 3: We define and study the Lyashko-Looijenga map.

sect. 4: We formulate and prove compactification theorems.

sect. 6, 7: We represent the Euler characteristic as the multiplicity of the Lyashko-Looijenga map.

sect. 8-10: We calculate the Euler characteristics.

At the end of the almost all sections (except sections 5, 7 and 9) there is a subsection contains an example. As a way of example we always use one and the same connected component.

1.8. Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to S. M. Natanzon for numerous helpful remarks and discussions.

2. REAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

2.1. Definition of a RMF. As we have already said, a *real meromorphic function (RMF)* is a triple (P, τ, f) , where P is a compact Riemann surface, $\tau: P \rightarrow P$ is an antiholomorphic involution (i. e., (P, τ) is a real algebraic curve), and $f: P \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a holomorphic map to the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, s. t. $f(\tau(z)) = \overline{f(z)}$.

Functions (P_1, τ_1, f_1) and (P_2, τ_2, f_2) are identified, iff there is a bi-holomorphic map $\varphi: P_1 \rightarrow P_2$, s. t. $f_1 = f_2 \varphi$ and $\varphi \tau_1 = \tau_2 \varphi$.

2.2. Real algebraic curves. Consider a real algebraic curve (P, τ) . The set of real points of the curve (P, τ) is the set P^τ of fixed points of the involution τ . It consists of pairwise disjoint simple closed contours, called *ovals*.

If $P \setminus P^\tau$ is a disconnected (connected) set, then the curve is said to be *separating* (resp., *non-separating*).

The *topological type of a curve* (P, τ) is a triple of numbers (g, k, ε) , where g is the genus of P , k is the number of ovals, and ε is equal to 1 for separating curves and to 0 for non-separating ones.

2.3. Functions on non-separating curves. Consider a function (P, τ, f) . Let c be an oval of the curve (P, τ) . We can choose orientations on the oval c and on the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, since $f(c) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, one can define the degree of the map $f|_c: c \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$.

The *index of the function* (P, τ, f) *on the oval* c is an absolute value of the degree of the map $f|_c: c \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. Evidently, the index doesn't depend on the choice of orientations.

The *topological type of a real meromorphic function* (P, τ, f) *defined on a non-separating curve* is a tuple of numbers $(g, n, 0|I)$, where $(g, k, 0)$ is the topological type of the curve (P, τ) , n is the number of sheets of the covering f , and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ are the indices of the function (P, τ, f) on the ovals of the curve (P, τ) .

We denote by $H(g, n, 0|I)$ the set of all RMFs of topological type $(g, n, 0|I)$.

Theorem A. [8, 10] *The set $H(g, n, 0|I)$ is not empty, iff $0 \leq k \leq g$; $\sum_{j=1}^k i_j \leq n - 2$; and $\sum_{j=1}^k i_j \equiv n \pmod{2}$. In this case $H(g, n, 0|I)$ is a connected real manifold of dimension $2(g + n - 1)$.*

2.4. Functions on separating curves. Consider a function (P, τ, f) defined on a separating curve.

We fix orientation on the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and on the surface P as on a complex manifold. Also we fix orientation on the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ as on the boundary of the upper hemisphere ($\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \partial\Lambda$, $\Lambda = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$).

Then we can choose a connected component P_1 of the complement $P \setminus P^\tau$. The orientation of the surface P_1 induces an orientation on its boundary $P^\tau = \partial P_1$.

Since $f(P^\tau) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, it is possible to define the *degrees* $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ of the function (P, τ, f) on the ovals of the real curve (P, τ) .

The tuple of degrees is defined up to the simultaneous change of the signs of all degrees, i. e. up to the substitution $I \rightarrow -I$, $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \rightarrow (-i_1, \dots, -i_k)$. It is related to the arbitrariness of the choice of a connected component of $P \setminus P^\tau$.

The *topological type of a real meromorphic function* (P, τ, f) *defined on a separating curve* is a tuple of numbers $(g, n, 1|I)$, where $(g, k, 1)$ is the topological type of the curve (P, τ) , n is the number of sheets of the

covering f , and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is the tuple of degrees of the function (P, τ, f) on the ovals of the curve (P, τ) .

The topological type is defined up to the substitution $(g, n, 1|I) \mapsto (g, n, 1|-I)$. The topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$ is said to *admit extension*, if $|\sum_{j=1}^k i_j| < \sum_{j=1}^k |i_j| = n - 2$.

We denote by $H(g, n, 1|I)$ the set of all RMFs of topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$. It follows from our definitions, that $H(g, n, 1|I) = H(g, n, 1|-I)$.

Theorem B. [9, 10] *The set $H(g, n, 1|I)$ is not empty, iff $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$; $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$; $\sum_{j=1}^k i_j \equiv n \pmod{2}$; and one of the following conditions is valid:*

1. $n = 1, g = 0, i_1 = \pm 1$;
2. $n = 2, k = g + 1, i_1 = \dots = i_k = 0$;
3. $n \geq 2, |\sum_{j=1}^k i_j| = \sum_{j=1}^k |i_j| = n, i_j \neq 0$;
4. $n \geq 3, \sum_{j=1}^k |i_j| \leq n - 2$.

If the set $H(g, n, 1|I)$ is non-empty and the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$ admits no extension, then $H(g, n, 1|I)$ is a connected real manifold of dimension $2(g + n - 1)$.

2.5. Extended topological type. Now suppose that the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$ admits extension and $H(g, n, 1|I)$ is a non-empty set. For every function $(P, \tau, f) \in H(g, n, 1|I)$ we consider the connected component H_0 of $H(g, n, 1|I)$ containing (P, τ, f) . Then there is a function $(P', \tau', f') \in H_0$ s. t. $P' \cap f'^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is a connected surface. Here P' is a connected component of $P' \setminus (P')^{\tau'}$ used to define the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$ and $\Lambda \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the upper hemisphere.

The extended topological type of the function $(P, \tau, f) \in H(g, n, 1|I)$ is defined as the tuple of numbers $(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$, where ξ is the genus of $P' \cap f'^{-1}(\Lambda)$. It can be proved that the extended topological type is well defined to within the change $(g, n, 1|I, \xi) \mapsto (g, n, 1|-I, \frac{g-k+1}{2} - \xi)$.

We denote by $H(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$ the subset of $H(g, n, 1|I)$ consisting of all RMFs of extended topological type $(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$. It follows from our definitions, that $H(g, n, 1|I, \xi) = H(g, n, 1|-I, \frac{g-k+1}{2} - \xi)$.

Theorem C. [9, 10] *The set $H(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$ is non-empty, iff $0 \leq \xi \leq (g - k + 1)/2$. In this case $H(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$ is a connected manifold of dimension $2(g + n - 1)$.*

2.6. Example. Now we show an example of a connected component.

Consider real meromorphic functions $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\Lambda = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \text{Im } z > 0\}$. Here $\eta: z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is a standart involution, and f_λ is a two-sheets real covering of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with two simple critical values, λ and $\bar{\lambda}$.

The set of such RMFs is a connected component of the space of real meromorphic functions. It's easy to see, that in our notations this set is denoted as $H(0, 2, 1|(2))$.

The map $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda) \mapsto \lambda$ is a homeomorphism $H(0, 2, 1|(2)) \rightarrow \Lambda$. So the space $H(0, 2, 1|(2))$ is homeomorphic to an open disk.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF COMPACTIFICATION

3.1. Introduction. Suppose that H_0 is one of connected components we describe in section 2, i. e. either $H_0 = H(g, n, 0|I)$, or $H_0 = H(g, n, 1|I)$ and the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$ admits no extension, or $H_0 = H(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$.

In this section we build a space N_0 compactifying H_0 .

Below, in the section 5, we prove that N_0 is a compact and Hausdorff space. Also we prove there that N_0 is a compactification of H_0 .

3.2. Real decorated functions. A *real decorated function* is a quintuple $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$, where

1. $(P, \tau, f) \in H_0$ is a real meromorphic function with $2(g + n - 1)$ critical values (i. e. all critical values of the covering f are simple).
2. E is a finite subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, s. t.
 - (a) $\eta(E) = E$, $\eta: z \mapsto \bar{z}$,
 - (b) the set E contains no critical values of (P, τ, f) .
3. $\{D_e\}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint closed disks, numerated by the elements e of the set E , s. t.
 - (a) $e \in \text{Int } D_e$,
 - (b) each disk D_e contains at least two critical values of the function (P, τ, f) ,
 - (c) there are no critical values of (P, τ, f) on the boundaries of the disks D_e , $e \in E$,
 - (d) if $\eta(e) = e'$, then $\eta(D_e) = D_{e'}$.

Note that the set E in the definition of a real decorated function can be empty. In this case one can understand a real decorated function as just a RMF with simple critical values.

Informally, a decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$ should be thought of as a limit of real meromorphic functions obtained from (P, τ, f) by making all the critical values inside every disk D_e tend to the point e .

3.3. Revision of the definition of a RMF. We want to define some equivalence relations for real meromorphic functions. For this purpose we need to specify our notations.

Now we consider a real meromorphic function (P, τ, f) as a covering $f: S_g \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ where S_g is a fixed genus g two-dimensional closed real manifold.

By P we understand a complex structure on S_g . The involution τ is an involution of S_g , antiholomorphic w. r. t. the complex structure P .

The covering f satisfies the condition $f\tau = \eta f$. The complex structure P is uniquely defined by the covering f [5].

We reformulate the coinsiding condition. Two functions, (P_1, τ_1, f_1) and (P_2, τ_2, f_2) , are coinside, if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: S_g \rightarrow S_g$, s. t. $f_1 = f_2\varphi$ and $\varphi\tau_1 = \tau_2\varphi$.

3.4. Equivalence relations. Two real decorated functions are equivalent if one of them is obtained from the other using a composition of the maps A_α and B_β that we describe below.

The map $A_\alpha: (P_0, \tau_0, f_0, E, \{D_e\}) \mapsto (P_1, \tau_1, f_1, E, \{D_e\})$ is defined by a path $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow H_0$, $\alpha: t \mapsto (P_t, \tau_t, f_t)$, s. t. on the set $f_0^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e) \subset S_g$ the complex structure, the involution and the covering are fixed.

The map $B_\beta: (P_0, \tau_0, f_0, E, \{D_e^0\}) \mapsto (P_1, \tau_1, f_1, E, \{D_e^1\})$ is defined by an isotopy $\{\beta_t: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ of the identity homomorphism of the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (i. e., $\beta_0 = \text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$). All β_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\eta\beta_t = \beta_t\eta$,
2. points of the set E are fixed points of β_t ,
3. critical values of (P_0, τ_0, f_0) belong to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e^0$ are fixed points of β_t ,
4. $D_e^t = \beta_t(D_e^0)$ for all $e \in E$,
5. the covering $f_t: S_g \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is coinside with $\beta_t f_0$,
6. P_t is just a deformation of the complex structure defined by the deformation of the covering,
7. the involution $\tau_t: S_g \rightarrow S_g$ is coinside with τ_0 .

3.5. The topology of the space of RMFs. Now we recall the topology of the space of real meromorphic functions defined on genus g curves.

A sequence (P_n, τ_n, f_n) tends to a point (P, τ, f) , if there are a sequence (P'_n, τ'_n, f'_n) and a point (P', τ', f') , coinsiding (in the sense of our definitions) with (P_n, τ_n, f_n) and (P, τ, f) resp., s. t. $f'_n \rightarrow f'$ as coverings $S_g \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\tau'_n \rightarrow \tau'$ as involutions of S_g .

3.6. The topology of the space of real decorated functions. Denote by N_0 the set of all equivalence classes of real decorated functions. Now we define a topology of the space N_0 .

An open neighbourhood of a point $x \in N_0$ can be defined by the following data:

1. a decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$ from the equivalence class x ;
2. a set of pairwise disjoint closed disks $\{B_l \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e\}$ s. t.
 - (a) each disk B_l contains exactly one critical value of (P, τ, f) ,

- (b) if critical value of (P, τ, f) lies in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e$, then it belongs to a disk B_l ,
- (c) the real condition for the disks B_l is valid: $\eta(\bigcup B_l) = \bigcup B_l$.

The corresponding neighbourhood of the point $x \in N_0$ consists of equivalence classes of real decorated functions $(P', \tau', f', E', \{D_{e'}\})$ s. t.

1. $\bigcup_{e' \in E'} D_{e'} \subset \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e$;
2. the covering f' can be represented as a composite $f' = \psi f_\alpha$, where
 - (a) ψ is a homeomorphism of the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$,
 - (b) $\eta\psi = \psi\eta$,
 - (c) ψ is identity outside of $\bigcup B_l \cup \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e$,
 - (d) $(P_\alpha, \tau_\alpha, f_\alpha, E, \{D_e\}) = A_\alpha((P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\}))$ for some (arbitrary) α .

Here P' is defined by the covering f' . The involutions τ' and τ_α are coincide as involutions of S_g .

A subset $X \subset N_0$ is open, if for any point $x \in X$ there is an open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of x s.t. $U(x) \subset X$.

Using the same arguments as in [13], one can prove that open subsets define a topology on the space N_0 .

3.7. Notations. If $H_0 = H(g, n, 0|I)$ ($H(g, n, 1|I)$, $H(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$), then we denote N_0 as $N(g, n, 0|I)$ (resp. $N(g, n, 1|I)$, $N(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$).

3.8. Example. A natural compactifications of the open disk $\Lambda = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \text{Im } z > 0\}$ is the closed disk $(\Lambda) = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$.

We show, that there is a homeomorphism $N(0, 2, 1|(2)) \rightarrow C(\Lambda)$.

Really, when $H_0 = H(0, 2, 1|(2))$, then a real decorated function with a non-empty set E is just a function $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda, \{\mu\}, \{D_\mu\})$, where $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\lambda \in D_\mu$. Two such functions are equivalent, iff the point μ is the same for both of them.

A homeomorphism $N(0, 2, 1|(2)) \rightarrow C(\Lambda)$ is defined by the formulas:

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda, \{\mu\}, \{D_\mu\}) \mapsto \mu, \quad (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda, \emptyset, \emptyset) \mapsto \lambda.$$

4. LYASHKO-LOOIJENGA MAP

4.1. Stratification of $\mathbb{RP}^m$. We denote by Π^m the space of real tuples of m unordered not necessary distinct points of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. A tuple $(r_1, \dots, r_m)$ is real, if $(\eta(r_1), \dots, \eta(r_m))$ is the same tuple, $\eta: z \mapsto \bar{z}$. An example of a real tuple of four points is $(i, i, -i, -i)$. Obviously, one can identify $\Pi^m \cong \mathbb{RP}^m$.

We represent Π^m as a union of disjoint submanifolds. Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ be non-decreasing positive integer sequences, possibly empty, s. t. $m = \sum_{j=1}^r p_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s q_j$. We denote by $\Pi(P|Q)$ the set of tuples consist of $r \geq 0$ pairwise distinct points of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, $s \geq 0$ pairwise distinct points of $\Lambda = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \text{Im } z > 0\}$ and also s points of $\eta(\Lambda)$, conjugated to those of Λ . Here we get points of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ with

multiplicities $p_1, \dots, p_r$ and points of Λ and $\eta(\Lambda)$ with multiplicities $q_1, \dots, q_s$.

A set $\Pi(P|Q)$ is a submanifold of Π^m . Dimension of $\Pi(P|Q)$ is equal to $r + 2s$. $\Pi(P|Q)$ is not necessary connected.

Obviously, $\Pi^m = \bigsqcup \Pi(P|Q)$.

4.2. Lyashko-Looijenga map on N_0 . Consider a connected component H_0 , that contains n -sheeted functions on genus g curves. Let N_0 be the corresponding space of equivalence classes of real decorated functions

The *Lyashko-Looijenga map* $ll: N_0 \rightarrow \Pi^{2(n+g-1)}$ associates to a real decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$ the tuple of points, consists of critical values of (P, τ, f) lie in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e$ and of points $e \in E$ with multiplicities equal to the number of critical values of (P, τ, f) lie in D_e .

Lemma 4.1. *The Lyashko-Looijenga map is continuous.*

Lemma 4.2. *The restriction of the Lyashko-Looijenga map to the preimage of any connected component of any submanifold $\Pi(P|Q)$ is a finite-sheeted non-ramified covering.*

4.3. Lyashko-Looijenga map on H_0 . A map $ll_H: H_0 \rightarrow \Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ associates to a real meromorphic function the tuple of it's critical values (w. r. t. multiplicities) is also called the *Lyashko-Looijenga map*.

Lemma 4.3. *The map ll_H is continuous.*

Lemma 4.4. *The restriction of the map ll_H to the preimage of any connected component of any submanifold $\Pi(P|Q)$ is a finite-sheeted non-ramified covering.*

4.4. Some words about proves. All lemmas of this section are evidently follow from our definitions.

4.5. Example. Let $H_0 = H(0, 2, 1|(2))$. Then the Lyashko-Looijenga map $ll: N(0, 2, 1|(2)) \rightarrow \Pi^2$ is as follow:

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda, \{\mu\}, \{D_\mu\}) \mapsto (\mu, \mu), \quad (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \eta, f_\lambda, \emptyset, \emptyset) \mapsto (\lambda, \bar{\lambda}).$$

We represent Π^2 as a union of submanifolds $\Pi(P|Q)$:

$$\Pi^2 = \Pi(2|\emptyset) \sqcup \Pi(1, 1|\emptyset) \sqcup \Pi(\emptyset|1).$$

In our case the map ll is a one-sheeted covering over $\Pi(\emptyset|1)$ and $\Pi(2|\emptyset)$ and a zero-sheeted covering $\Pi(1, 1|\emptyset)$.

5. COMPACTIFICATION THEOREMS

5.1. Properties of N_0 . The following theorem is proved using the same arguments as it's complex analogue in [13].

Theorem 5.1. *N_0 is a compact and a Hausdorff space.*

The sketch of the proof. To proof that N_0 is a Hausdorff space we just need to build disjoint neighbourhoods of two arbitrary points $x, y \in N_0$ s. t. $ll(x) = ll(y)$. It's easy to do it using lemmas 4.1 – 4.2.

Compactness is proved by selection a convergent subsequence in an arbitrary sequence of points of N_0 . Using lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ is a compact space, we find an ll -image of a limit point. Then from the lemma 4.2 it follows the existence of the limit point. $\square$

5.2. Embedding $H_0 \rightarrow N_0$. Now we describe an embedding of H_0 to N_0 . Denote by H_0^* a subspace of H_0 , consists of all functions with simple critical values. Obviously, H_0^* is an open and an everywhere dense subspace of H_0 . The map $C^*: H_0^* \rightarrow N_0$ associate to a function (P, τ, f) the decorated function $(P, \tau, f, \emptyset, \emptyset)$.

Theorem 5.2. 1. *The map C^* is a continuous embedding $H_0^* \rightarrow N_0$.*
 2. *There is a continuous extension of the map C^* to the map $C: H_0 \rightarrow N_0$.*
 3. *The map C is a continuous embedding $H_0 \rightarrow N_0$.*
 4. *The image $C(H_0)$ is an open and an everywhere dense subspace of N_0 .*

The sketch of the proof. From our definitions it follows that C^* is a continuous map.

Now we construct the map $C: H_0 \rightarrow N_0$ – the continuous extension of the map C^* .

Let $(P_0, \tau_0, f_0) \in H_0$ be a function with non-simple critical values. Denote by E the set of it's non-simple critical values. Evidently, $\eta(E) = E$. Let us choose pairwise disjoint closed disks $\{D_e\}_{e \in E}$ s. t. $e \in D_e$, D_e doesn't contain any other critical values of (P_0, τ_0, f_0) and if $\eta(e) = e'$, then $\eta(D_e) = D_{e'}$.

We define $(P_0, \tau_0, f_0) \mapsto (P_1, \tau_1, f_1, E, \{D_e\})$, where (P_t, τ_t, f_t) , $t \in [0, 1]$, is an arbitrary path in the space H_0 s. t. on the set $f^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} D_e) \subset S_g$ complex structure, involution and covering are fixed and all critical values of (P_1, τ_1, f_1) are simple.

From the definition of equivalence relations of decorated functions it follows, that the map C is well defined. It is also obvious that C is a continuous map and $C|_{H_0^*} = C^*$. The statement, that the image $C(H_0)$ is an open and an everywhere dense subspace of N_0 one can obtain just from the definition of the topology of N_0 . $\square$

5.3. Two Lyashko-Looijenga maps. Now we have two Lyashko-Looijenga maps on H_0 : the map ll_H and the map $ll \circ C$. Obviously, these maps are coincide, $ll_H \equiv ll \circ C$.

6. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC VIA LL -MULTIPLICITY – I

6.1. A theorem on the Euler characteristic. Denote by Π_0 the submanifold $\Pi(P_0|Q_0)$ of $\Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$, where $P_0 = \emptyset$, $Q_0 = (g+n-1)$. It means that Π_0 is a submanifold of tuples consist of two conjugated points with multiplicity $g+n-1$. Obviously, Π_0 is homeomorphic to an open disk.

Consider a connected component H_0 containing n -sheeted functions on genus g curves. Let N_0 be it's compactification.

Theorem 6.1. *The Euler characteristic $\chi(N_0)$ of the space N_0 is equal to the multiplicity of the Lyashko-Looijenga map $ll: N_0 \rightarrow \Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ restricted to the preimage of Π_0 .*

The Euler characteristic $\chi(H_0)$ of the space H_0 is equal to the multiplicity of the Lyashko-Looijenga map $ll_H: H_0 \rightarrow \Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ restricted to the preimage of Π_0 .

A proof of this theorem one can find in the next section.

6.2. Some corollaries. The following corollaries of the Theorem 6.1 are obvious.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $g+n-1 > 1$. Then the Euler characteristic of the space N_0 is equal to the number of non-equivalent decorated functions $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\}) \in N_0$ s. t. $E = \{i, -i\}$, $D_{\pm i} = \{z ||z \mp i| \leq 1/2\}$ and all critical values of (P, τ, f) lie in $D_i \cup D_{-i}$.*

The conditions $g+n-1 > 1$ is related with our requirement (in the definition of a decorated function) for each disk D_e to contain at least two critical values.

Corollary 6.2. *The Euler characteristic of the space H_0 is equal to the number of RMFs $(P, \tau, f) \in H_0$ with only two critical values: i and $-i$.*

It's obvious, that such real meromorphic functions exist only if genus is equal to zero. But we discuss it later.

6.3. Example. The example is the space $H(0, 2, 1|(2))$. We know that this space is homeomorphic to an open disk. It's compactification is homeomorphic to a closed disk. Hence, $\chi(H(0, 2, 1|(2))) = \chi(H(0, 2, 1|(2))) = 1$.

The corresponding multiplicities of the Lyshko-Looijenga map we calculate in the subsection 4.5, and they also are equal to 1.

7. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC VIA LL -MULTIPLICITY – II

In this section we prove the Theorem 6.1.

7.1. Represetation of N_0 as a union of cells – I. First we represent the space $\Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ as a union of disjoint open balls.

Let Π be a connected component of $\Pi(P|Q) \subset \Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$, $P = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$, $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$.

Obviously, there is a finite-sheeted non-ramified covering $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi(P'|Q') \subset \Pi^{r+2s}$, $P' = (1, \dots, 1)$ (r times "1"), $Q' = (1, \dots, 1)$ (s times "1").

Let us build a cell decomposition of $\Pi(P'|Q')$. Obviously, $\Pi(P'|Q')$ is homeomorphic to $W^r(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}) \times W^s(\Lambda)$. Here we denote by $W^k(X)$ the space of k -tuples of pairwise disjoint points of X . Hence we must build only cell decompositions of $W^r(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ and $W^s(\Lambda)$.

7.2. Cell decompositions of $W^r(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ and $W^s(\Lambda)$. The space $W^k(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ we represent as a union of two cells. One of them has dimension k and consists of tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ s. t. $x_i \neq \infty$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. One can consider numbers $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ with relation $x_1 < \dots < x_k$ as coordinates on this cell. The second cell has dimension $k - 1$ and consists of tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \infty)$. One can consider numbers $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with relation $x_1 < \dots < x_{k-1}$ as coordinates on this cell.

To build the cell decomposition of $W^k(\Lambda)$ we need some new notations. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda$. The record $z_1 \prec z_2$ means, that $\operatorname{Re} z_1 < \operatorname{Re} z_2$. The record $z_1 \preccurlyeq z_2$ means, that $\operatorname{Re} z_1 = \operatorname{Re} z_2$ and $\operatorname{Im} z_1 < \operatorname{Im} z_2$.

Each cell consists of tuples $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ s. t. coordinates on the cell are the numbers $(\operatorname{Re} z_1, \operatorname{Im} z_1, \dots, \operatorname{Re} z_k, \operatorname{Im} z_k)$ with a relation of the type $z_1 * z_2 * \dots * z_k$, where the sign " $*$ " is either $\prec$ or $\preccurlyeq$.

So, $W^k(\Lambda)$ is represented as a union of 2^{k-1} cells.

7.3. Represetation of N_0 as a union of cells – II. We have the cell decompositions of the spaces $W^r(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ and $W^s(\Lambda)$. Their product give us the cell decomposition of $\Pi(P'|Q')$. We can lift this cell decomposition to $\Pi(P|Q)$. We obtain the cell decomposition of $\Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$. Then, using Lemma 4.2, we represent the space N_0 as a union of disjoint cells.

The cell decompositions we build doesn't give us the CW-copmplex structure on the spaces $\Pi^{2(g+n-1)}$ and N_0 . But to compute the Euler characteristic we need onle an arbitrary representation of the space as a union of disjoint open balls.

An example of the cell decomposition of such space in a particular case one can find in [3].

The next Lemma is evident:

Lemma 7.1. *Each cell of the cell decomposition we have build is either a subset of $C(H_0)$ or a subset of $N_0 \setminus C(H_0)$. No cell intersects both $C(H_0)$ and $N_0 \setminus C(H_0)$.*

7.4. A proof of Theorem 6.1.

A proof of Theorem 6.1. To prove Theorem 6.1 we just must show (here we use Lemma 4.2), that the Euler characteristics of all connected components of all submanifolds $\Pi(P|Q)$, except Π_0 , are equal to zero.

By the Euler characteristic we understand here the alternated sum of the numbers of cells we have build.

Hence we need only to prove that the Euler characteristic of any submanifold $\Pi(P'|Q')$, except $\Pi_0 \subset \Pi^2$, is equal to zero. Really, if $P' \neq \emptyset$ (i. e., $r > 0$), then $\chi(\Pi(P'|Q')) = 0$, since $\chi(W^k(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})) = 0$. So we consider the case $r = 0$ and $s \geq 2$. Then $\Pi(P'|Q') = W^s(\Lambda)$. There are 2^{s-1} cells in the cell decomposition of $W^s(\Lambda)$. The dimension of a cell one can compute using formula $\dim = 2 + 2(\prec) + (\preceq)$, where $(\prec)$ and $(\preceq)$ are the numbers of corresponding signs in the relation that defines the cell. So, the Euler characteristic $\chi(W^s(\Lambda))$ is equal to $\binom{c-1}{0} - \binom{c-1}{1} + \binom{c-1}{2} - \cdots \pm \binom{c-1}{c-1} = (1 - 1)^{c-1} = 0$.

From the foregoing we obtain our theorem, since $\chi(\Pi_0) = 1$. $\square$

8. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF CONNECTED COMPONENTS

8.1. Theorems. Denote by H_1 a connected component $H(g, n, 0|I)$.

Theorem 8.1. *If $g = 0$, then $\chi(H_1) = 1$. If $g \neq 0$, then $\chi(H_1) = 0$.*

Consider a connected component $H_2 = H(g, n, 1|I)$ (the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, doesn't admit extension). Recall, that if $g = 0$, then $k = 1$ and $I = (i_1)$.

Theorem 8.2. *If $g = 0$ and $|i_1| = n$, then $\chi(H_2) = 1$. In any other case $\chi(H_2) = 0$.*

Denote by H_3 a connected component $H(g, k, 1|I, \xi)$.

Theorem 8.3. *Independently of topological invariants $\chi(H_3) = 0$.*

Proofs of Theorems 8.1-8.3. Consider a connected component H_0 of the space of real meromorphic functions.

From the construction of the map K (Theorem 5.2) and Corollary 6.2 it follows, that the Euler characteristic of the space H_0 is equal to the number of functions $(P, \tau, f) \in H_0$ with only two critical values, i and $-i$.

Consider such function. Since it has only one critical value in the disk $\Lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$, any connected component of the preimage of Λ is a disk. The same is true for $\eta(\Lambda) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Im} z < 0\}$. Hence, the genus of the surface P is equal to zero. Also it means, that the preimage of the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected.

Obviously, each connected component H_0 contains at least one such function. H_0 contains exactly one such function, iff $g = 0$, and either $\varepsilon = 0$, or $|i_1| = n$. From this our Theorems follow. $\square$

8.2. **Example.** We use Theorem 8.2 to study our usual example, the space $H(0, 2, 1|(2))$. We obtain, that $\chi(H(0, 2, 1|(2))) = 1$.

9. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC IN NON-SEPARATING CASE

Denote by N_1 the compactification $N(g, n, 0|I)$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. To express the Euler characteristic $\chi(N_1)$ of the space N_1 in general case we need *non-separating graphs*. In the definition of a non-separating graph we suppose that all indices i_j are non-zero (or there are no of them, i. e. $k = 0$, $I = ()$).

A *non-separating graph* consists of the following data:

1. A bipartited graph with signs on vertexes and edges:
 - (a) The set of vertexes $V = V_w \sqcup V_b$. This set is a disjoint union of two subsets with the same cardinalities ($|V_w| = |V_b|$). Vertexes from V_w (from V_b) we suppose to be painted in white (resp., black) color.
 - (b) The set of edges E . Each edge connects vertexes of different colors. The graph (V, E) is connected.
 - (c) A function $\zeta_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We require the following: $g = k + |E| - |V| + 1 + \sum_{v \in V} \zeta_V(v)$.
 - (d) A function $\zeta_E: E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The following must be valid: $n = \sum_{e \in E} \zeta_E(e) - \sum_{j=1}^k i_j$.
2. A structure of root vertexes and edges:

There are fixed subsets of root vertexes $V_w^r \subset V_w$, $V_b^r \subset V_b$. There are exactly k root vertexes of each color. The function ζ_V vanishes on all root vertexes.

Each root vertex has exactly one edge outgoing from it. We denote by E_w^r (E_b^r) the set of edges outgoing of root vertexes of the white (resp., black) color. The function ζ_E restricted to E_w^r and E_b^r give us isomorphisms $E_w^r \rightarrow I$ and $E_b^r \rightarrow I$ of the sets E_w^r and E_b^r and the tuple of indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$.
3. An automorphism γ of the graph (V, E) :
 - (a) The automorphism γ change colors of all vertexes.
 - (b) $\zeta_V \circ \gamma = \zeta_V$, $\zeta_E \circ \gamma = \zeta_E$.
 - (c) On the edges that are fixed w. r. t. the automorphism γ , the function ζ_E gets even values.
 - (d) The automorphism γ sends root vertexes to root vertexes.

As a way of example to this definition we describe the unique non-separating graph for the space $N(1, 3, 0|(1))$: $V = \{v_{-2}, v_{-1}, v_1, v_2\}$, $V_w = \{v_{-2}, v_1\}$, $V_b = \{v_{-1}, v_2\}$, $V_w^r = \{v_{-2}\}$, $V_b^r = \{v_2\}$, $E = \{(v_{-2}, v_{-1}), (v_{-1}, v_1), (v_1, v_2)\}$, $E_w^r = \{(v_{-2}, v_{-1})\}$, $E_b^r = \{(v_1, v_2)\}$, $\zeta_V \equiv 0$, $\zeta_E(E_{w,b}^r) = 1$, $\zeta_E((v_{-1}, v_1)) = 2$, $\gamma(v_i) = v_{-i}$.

Theorem 9.1. *If $k > 0$ and at least one of the indices i_j is equal to zero, then $\chi(N_1) = 0$. In any other case the Euler characteristic $\chi(N_1)$ is equal to the number of different non-separating graphs.*

Proof. Consider a non-empty space $H_1 = H(g, k, 0|I)$

Let the topological type $(g, k, 0|I)$ contain zero index. Then for any decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\}) \subset N_1 = N(H_1)$ we obtain the following: either function (P, τ, f) has a real critical value in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup D_e$, or the set E contains a real point, or both statements are true. Hence, the image of the Lyashko-Looijenga map doesn't contain the submanifold Π_0 . Then, using Theorem 6.1, we conclude that $\chi(N_1) = 0$.

Now we suppose that all indices i_j of the topological type $(g, k, 0|I)$ are non-zero (or there are no of them, i. e. $k = 0, I = ()$). Then the space N_1 contains functions that described in Corollary 6.1.

To each decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$ s. t. $E = \{i, -i\}$, $D_{\pm i} = \{z || z \mp i| \leq 1/2\}$ and all critical values of (P, τ, f) are in the disks $D_{\pm i}$, we associate a non-separating graph.

We choose an arbitrary function (P, τ, f) that defines an equivalence class of $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$. We cut the surface P along ovals. Then we glue all holes we obtain using disk coverings of the disks Λ and $\eta(\Lambda)$, s. t. the result is a real meromorphic function (P', τ', f') . Obviously, the topological type of (P', τ', f') is equal to $(g - k, n + \sum_{j=1}^k i_j, 0|I')$, $I' = ()$.

To each connected component of $f^{-1}(\Lambda)$ ($f^{-1}(\eta(\Lambda))$) we associate a white (resp., black) vertex with the value of ζ_V equals to the genus of this component. The root vertexes are obtained from the glueing disks. To each component of $f^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ we associate an edge with the value of ζ_E equals to the number of sheetes of the covering of the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ by this component. An edge outgoes from a vertex if the corresponding components of the surface P' are bounded.

The automorphism of the graph is generated by the involution τ' . It's easy to see that the graph we build is non-separating.

Lemma 9.1. *We associate to non-equivalent decorated functions different non-separating graphs. Each non-separating graph can be obtained as the graph of a function.*

Proof. The second statement of the Lemma one can prove by direct construction. The first statement of the Lemma is an obvious corollary of Lemma 9.2 which you can see below.

See also [11]. There are the standart arguments that allow one to prove this Lemma are written in details. $\square$

Consider two n -sheeted coverings $f_1, f_2: U \rightarrow D$ of a disk D by a connected surface U with only simple critical values. Let f_1 and f_2 be topologically equivalent over the boundary of D .

Lemma 9.2. *There are homeomorphisms $\varphi: U \rightarrow U$ and $\psi: D \rightarrow D$ s. t. $\psi f_1 = f_2 \varphi$.*

This Lemma is a corollary of results [8, 10, 6] on the topological classification of coverings of the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with one non-simple critical value.

Let us finish the proof of the Theorem. From Lemma 9.1 it follows, that the number of functions discribed in Corollary 6.1 is equal to the number of non-separating graphs. Hence the Euler characteristic of the space $N(g, k, 0|I)$ is equal to the number of non-separating graphs. $\square$

10. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC IN SEPARATING CASE

10.1. The topological type doesn't admit extension. Denote by N_2 a compactification $N(g, n, 1|I)$ (the topological type $(g, n, 1|I)$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, doesn't admit extension). To express the Euler characteristic $\chi(N_2)$ of the space N_2 in general case we need *separating graphs*. In the definition of the separating graph we suppose that all degrees i_j are non-zero.

A *separating graph* consists of the following data:

1. A bipartite graph with signs on vertexes and edges:
 - (a) The set of vertexes $V = V_w \sqcup V_b$. This set is a disjoint union of two subsets (not necessary with the same cardinalities). Vertexes from V_w (from V_b) we suppose to be painted in white (resp., black) color.
 - (b) The set of edges E . Each edge connects vertexes of different colors. The graph (V, E) is connected.
 - (c) The function $\zeta_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We require the following: $g = (k - 1) + 2(|E| - |V| + 1) + 2 \sum_{v \in V} \zeta_V(v)$.
 - (d) The function $\zeta_E: E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The following must be valid: $n = 2 \sum_{e \in E} \zeta_E(e) - \sum_{j=1}^k |i_j|$.
2. The structure of root vertexes and edges:

There are fixed subsets of root vertexes $V_w^r \subset V_w$, $V_b^r \subset V_b$. We have exactly k root vertexes at all. The number of root vertexes of white (black) color is equal to the number of negative (resp., positive) degrees i_j in the tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. The functions ζ_V vanishes on all root vertexes.

Each root vertex has exactly one edge outgoing from it. We denote by E^r the set of edges outgoing from root vertexes. We define the function ζ_R on the set E^r : in an edge $e \in E^r$ outgoes from the root vertex of white (black) color, then we put $\zeta_R(e) = -\zeta_E(e)$ (resp., $\zeta_R(e) = \zeta_E(e)$). The function ζ_R must be an isomorphism of the set E^r and the tuple of degrees $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$.

As a way of example to this definition we discribe the unique separating graph for the space $N(1, 3, 1|(1, 2))$: $V = \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$, $V_w = \{v_1\}$, $V^r = V_b = \{v_0, v_2\}$, $E = E^r = \{(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2)\}$, $\zeta_V \equiv 0$.

Theorem 10.1. *If $|\sum_{j=1}^k i_j| = n$, then $\chi(N_2) = 1$. If at least one of the degrees i_j is equal to zero, then $\chi(N_2) = 0$. If $\sum_{j=1}^k |i_j| \leq n - 2$*

and $i_j \neq 0$, then the Euler characteristic of the space $\chi(N_2)$ is equal to the number of separating graphs.

Proof. If one of the degrees i_j is equal to zero, then $\chi(N_2) = 0$ by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Let all degrees i_j be non-zero. Then we associate a separating graph to each decorated function $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\}) \in N_2$ s. t. $E = \{i, -i\}$, $D_{\pm i} = \{z \mid |z \mp i| \leq 1/2\}$ and all critical values of (P, τ, f) are in the disks $D_{\pm i}$.

We choose an arbitrary function (P, τ, f) that defines an equivalence class of $(P, \tau, f, E, \{D_e\})$. Let P_1 be the connected component of the complement $P \setminus P^\tau$ that we used to define the topological type $(g, k, 1|I)$. We cut out along ovals the other part of the curve P . Then we glue all holes in P_1 using disk coverings of Λ and $\eta(\Lambda)$. Thus we obtain a meromorphic function, now not a real one. Denote by P'_1 the surface that we obtain.

To each connected component of $f^{-1}(\Lambda) \cap P_1$ ($f^{-1}(\eta(\Lambda)) \cap P_1$) we associate a white (black) vertex with the value of ζ_V equals to the genus of the component. Root vertexes we obtain from the glueing disks. To each component of $f^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}) \cap P_1$ we associate an edge with the value of ζ_E equals to the number of sheets of the covering of the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ by this component. An edge outgoes from the vertex, iff the corresponding components of the surface P'_1 are bounded. Root edges and the isomorphism ζ_R we obtain from the tracks of oval on P'_1 .

It's easy to see that the graph we have build is separating.

Lemma 10.1. *To non-equivalent decorated functions we associate non-equivalent separating graphs. Each separating graph can be obtained as the graph of a function.*

Proof. The first statement is a corollary of Lemma 9.2. The second statement one can prove by direct constructon. $\square$

Now we prove the third statement of the Theorem. From Lemma 10.1 it follow, that the number of functions discribed in Corollary 6.1 is equal to the number of separating graphs. Hence the Euler characteristic of the space N_2 is equal to the number of separating graphs.

If $|\sum_{j=1}^k i_j| = n$, then it's easy to see that there is a unique separating graph. $\square$

10.2. The topological type admits extension. Denote by N_3 the compactification $N(g, n, 1|I, \xi)$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$.

Theorem 10.2. *If one of the degrees i_j is equal to zero, then $\chi(N_3) = 0$. In any other case $\chi(N_3) = 1$.*

Proof. If one of the degrees i_j is equal to zero, then $\chi(N_3) = 0$ by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 9.1. In any other

case we just must show, that there is a unique decorated function in $N_3 = N(g, k, 1|I, \xi)$ satisfying conditions of Corollary 6.1.

Consider a function $(P, \tau, f) \in H(g, k, 1|I, \xi)$. Suppose that it has no critical values on the contour $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. Let P_1 be the component of the complement $P \setminus P^\tau$, that we used to define the topological type $(g, k, 1|I, \xi)$.

Lemma 10.2. *$f^{-1}(\Lambda) \cap P_1$ and $f^{-1}(\eta(\Lambda)) \cap P_1$ are connected surfaces.*

Is lemma is proved in [10] as a little bit different statement.

From Lemmas 9.2 and 10.2 it follows, that there is a unique function in $N_3 = N(g, k, 1|I, \xi)$ satisfying conditions of Corollary 6.1. $\square$

10.3. Example. We apply Theorem 10.1 to our usual example, the space $N(0, 2, 1|(2))$. It's easy to see, that the separating graph is exactly one: $V = \{v_0, v_1\}$, $V_w = \{v_1\}$, $V^r = V_b = \{v_0\}$, $E = E^r = \{(v_0, v_1)\}$, $\zeta_V \equiv 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold, Topological classification of trigonometric polynomials and combinatorics of graphs with an equal number of vertices and edges, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 30 (1996), 1-14
- [2] S. Diaz, D. Edidin, Towards the homology of Hurwitz space, *J. Diff. Geom.* 43 (1996), 66-98
- [3] A. Gabrielov, A. Eremenko, Rational functions with real critical points and the B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real enumerative geometry, to appear in *Annals of Math.*
- [4] J. Harris, D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves, *Invent. Math.* 67 (1982), 23-86
- [5] B. Kerekjarto, *Vorlesungen über Topologie I. Flächentopologie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1923
- [6] A. G. Khovanskii, S. Zdravkovska, Branched covers of S^2 and braid groups, *J. Knot Theory Ramific.* 5:1 (1996), 55-75
- [7] M. Kontsevich, Enumeration of rational curves via torus action, in: *The moduli space of curves*, PM 129, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 335-368
- [8] S. M. Natanzon, Spaces of real meromorphic functions on real algebraic curves, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 30 (1984), 724-726
- [9] S. M. Natanzon, Real meromorphic functions on real algebraic curves, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 36 (1987), 425-427
- [10] S. M. Natanzon, Topology of 2-dimensional coverings and meromorphic functions on real and complex algebraic curves, *Selecta Math. Soviet.* 12:3 (1993), 251-291
- [11] S. Natanzon, B. Shapiro, A. Vainshtein, Topological classification of generic real rational functions, to appear in *J. Knot Theory Ramific.*, math.AG/0110235
- [12] S. M. Natanzon, S. V. Shadrin, Topological classification of unitary functions of arbitrary genus, *Russian Math. Surveys* 55:6 (2000), 1163-1164
- [13] S. Natanzon, V. Turaev, A compactification of the Hurwitz space, *Topology* 38:4 (1999), 889-914

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW
BOLSHOI VLASJEVSKII PEREULOK 11,
MOSCOW 121002, RUSSIA
E-MAIL: SHADRIN@MCCME.RU